

SPF Joint Meeting

July 7, 2010





Agenda

- I. Report back on UNCT meeting
- II. Carry out a SPF rapid assessment in Thailand
- III. Discussion on possible other activities
- IV. AOB



I. Report back on UNCT meeting

- UNCT meeting held on June 30, 2010 to discuss UNPAF preparation
- The Social Inequality Subgroup
- Last political updates: 5 point roadmap and Plan 11
- Expressed demand from government: CB in stat and data collection, South-South cooperation
- Concrete output for the SPF Joint Team





II. Carry out a SPF rapid assessment in Thailand

Where are we at in the SPF Joint Team?

- Our common ground: To promote/ contribute to a holistic and coherent vision of national social protection systems to progressively tend towards universal basic coverage and by doing so, contribute to reduce inequalities and close gaps in the country through the development a joint planning for a more coherent and effective action and an enhanced partnership with the Thai government.
- **Immediate purpose**: to advocate and to identify possible linkages with the next UNPAF, Plan 11 and other planning documents being currently developed by the government of Thailand, and to identify possible assistance through the UN system and other agencies.
- → Carrying out a rapid assessment has been identified as possible activity to lead as a team.





II. Carry out a SPF rapid assessment in Thailand

What is being done at international level

- Generic Rapid SPF country assessment tool developed at global level to be adapted at national level
- Objectives
 - Starting point for discussions on how to build the SPF that is tailored to the needs and capacities of the country.
 - Provide a diagnosis at initial stage: take stock of present SPF situation.

Joint SPF Taskforce Government, social partners, UN agencies, NGOs etc. Support

Global Technical

Advisory Network

II. Carry out a SPF rapid assessment in Thailand

Basic concept



To measure the availability and quality of basic social protection in Thailand.

This can be broken down into two components:

- a) Population coverage: the question to be answered is "Are people that are in a defined target group for a transfer effectively getting a transfer payment or are effectively having access to essential health care"?
- b) <u>Coverage adequacy</u>: question to be answered is "Are people that are receiving a transfer or having access to essential health services, receiving a transfer payment that brings them up to the selected poverty line or are they receiving affordable health care of adequate quality? And Does it contribute to reduce inequalities?





II. Carry out a SPF rapid assessment in Thailand

Operational objectives

- 1. Provision of a first evidence base to assess the situation and be able to monitor progress.
- **2. Stocktaking/Mapping:** identifying the existing social protection programs/schemes covering the elements of the SPF, identify the actors active in social protection in the country
- 3. Collaboration and national dialogue: Launch discussions on the SPF process and how to build a Social Protection Floor in Thailand by involving main stakeholders in the development of the methodology based on existing tools/ data and the making of the baseline. Potential starting point for the inclusion of government and other stakeholders → efficient flow of information and exchange on SPF activities between government institutions and participating UN agencies, development partners
- 4. **Analysis**: identification of the covered population and the benefit levels of the social protection programs in the country, the good practices, the weak points, the priority needs, the potentials and constraints.





II. Carry out a SPF rapid assessment in Thailand

Proposed Outputs

Proposed output 1: Development of an assessment tool using the different tools from our respective organizations and the generic tool. This tool could be later use to monitor progress in the implementation of the floor in Thailand.

Proposed output 2: Carry out a first assessment (baseline) to guide action. It will be available as an assessment report and interactive mapping on the GESS (Global Extension of Social Security) platform.

II. Carry out a SPF rapid assessment in Thailand

Preparatory work: What needs to be done beforehand?

- 1. Identify the key players who will be involved in designing and conducting the rapid social protection floor assessment: Government institutions, UNagencies, projects, donors, civil society organizations, social partners, NGOs social security schemes/programs, etc.
- 2. Constitute a toolbox made of all available tools which can be used to carry out the assessment (child poverty studies from UNICEF, SPI (ADB), WFP tools for food, etc.
- 3. Identify all relevant national stakeholders, government institutions and participating UN-agencies in the country who may constitute valuable sources of information to be consulted with when conducting the assessment and inform them about the SPF-Initiative and the country rapid assessment;
- **4. Gather all existing reports, assessments, studies** conducted by any of the above actors during the past 5 years (e.g. child poverty analysis, CPIAs etc) through the GESS platform





II. Carry out a SPF rapid assessment in Thailand

What to consider when developing the quantitative and qualitative assessment tool?

- -Develop a **simple but robust methodology** to allow rapid assessments of the Social Protection Floor situation. The analysis is not meant as a substitute for more complex and probably accurate social security coverage or performance indicators.
- -Should include a political and institutional analysis, Delivery capacity and gaps, SP coverage analysis (Indicators of access to social protection according to the core thematic areas under the Social Protection Floor), a Collection of Macro-economic data, Household income and expenditure, Poverty levels
- The baseline should present presenting a) a stocktaking of social protection schemes and existing programs and b) an analysis of the schemes related to the SPF elements focusing on four aspects: 1) the adequacy of the benefit levels to ensure effective access 2) the effective coverage, i.e. the proportion of the target population that is actually receiving benefits, 3) the availability/supply of the social services and goods and 4) the quality of the goods and services available.

As an example – Health Care System

Rights and guarantee for:	In-kind transfers (Demand side)			Essential services	
	for: UCS CSMBS		SSS (Supply side)		
General target	Every Thai citizen not covered under the CSMBS or SSS	Government employees or pensioners And their dependents	Private employees or temporary public employees	<public private="" providers="" vs.=""> • Most of health services were provided by public health care providers; In 2007, 65.9 percent of hospitals and 63.3 percent of beds belonged to the MoPH.</public>	
No. of persons covered (2006)	47 million (76%)	4.2 million (7%)	9.1 million (15%)	• Private hospitals have increased since economic expansion during 1992-1997. Most of them locate in Bangkok and urban area. Private health insurance companies play very limited additional role in Thailand due to	
Description of services (benefit package, copayment etc.)				their high premium rate and very strict underwrite policies. • "One hospital for every district and one health center for every sub-district (Tambon)" has been achieved. <geographical disproportion=""></geographical>	
Link to further information	www.nhso. go.th	www.cgd.go. th	<u>www.sso.go</u> . th	 Maldistribution of health care providers by Urban vs. Rural areas Different distribution of health care personnel among Bangkok and other regions like Northeastern region 	

As an example – Health Care System

Rights and guarantee for:		In-kind transfe (Demand side)	Essential services	
	UCS	CSMBS	SSS	(Supply side)
Children	• It does not see	m that the multiple	• As in the analysis of the demand side, regular assessments of health care providers specific to each sub-group seem rare.	
People in active age groups with insufficien t income	has been improvutilization rates	yed by regular surves or coverage gaponeeds, of each supple with disabilities		
Older persons		f people with HIV ntegrated into the as in 2006.		
Pregnant women	the three scheme	the health care qua es, the remaining t ut " unidentified p		
People with disabilities	Thailand" or "	illegal migrants o sures by the Thai		
People with HIV/AIDS				





II. Carry out a SPF rapid assessment in Thailand

To consider, among others...

- Doesn't have to necessarily be comprehensive for all elements of the floor
- Find a "good balance" between the rapidity and level of analysis and data available (Simplistic assumptions will be made)
- Need to ensure national appropriation
- The multidimensionality of poverty and the impact of existing SP schemes to get the vulnerable people out of it..
- The distributional effects of the SP schemes





II. Carry out a SPF rapid assessment in Thailand

What next?

- Draft ToR + concept note on methodology
- Start working on preparatory work
- Funding



III. Possible other activities

- Capacity building activities
- Capitalization on the SPF success stories (Ayudhaya +1, ?)
- Enrichment of communication/ education tools developed at global level
- Any other activity ???